

Member Authorizations in Sage

Substance Abuse Prevention and Control County of Los Angeles Department of Public Health

Provider SAPC Webinar; June 19, 2018



Outline

- Overview of Current Utilization Management (UM) Process in Sage for Authorized and Non-Authorized Services
- Member Authorization Change Being Considered
 Proposal & Rationale
- Discussion





Overview of Current UM Process

Authorized Services

- Residential (levels 3.1, 3.3, and 3.5) treatment
- Recovery Bridge Housing (RBH)
- Medications for Addiction Treatment (MAT) for Youth (age 12-17)
- Withdrawal Management (WM) for Youth (age 12-17)

Non-Authorized Services

- Outpatient (OP)
- Intensive Outpatient (IOP)
- Opioid Treatment Program (OTP)
- Withdrawal Management (WM) (other than for youth see above)
- Recovery Support Services (RSS)



Sage Authorization Request Form

 Authorized services currently require submission of Authorization Request Form, whereas non-authorized services do <u>NOT</u> require this form.

Process for Reviewing Authorized Services

- Requires SAPC Utilization Management (UM) staff to approve authorized services prior to providers being able to <u>bill</u> or be <u>paid</u> for those services.
- Review process for Sage's Authorization Request Form includes:
 - ASAM assessment (with justification of clinical rationale if level of care recommendation of the ASAM CONTINUUM is overridden)
 - Sage Financial Eligibility Form
 - Sage Provider Diagnosis (ICD-10) Form
 - Sage Clinical Contact Form
 - Pertinent lab/drug testing results if applicable
 - Treatment Plan (if re-authorization)
- Other Required documents:
 - Sage CalOMS Admission Form (within 7 [adults] or 14 [youth] days of admission)







Rendering Authorization Decisions

- SAPC UM staff cannot render a decision on authorization requests until all required information is submitted by providers.
 - <u>Approvals</u> → If medical necessity is established and all necessary info is provided.
 - <u>Denials</u> → If medical necessity is NOT established and/or all necessary info is NOT complete and/or provided within 3 business days of authorization request
- Providers can still <u>provide services</u> for authorized services while SAPC UM staff processes the authorization request.
- However, until the provider provides ALL necessary information for SAPC
 UM staff to render a decision on the authorization request, providers will be <u>financially liable</u> (e.g., providers will NOT be able to <u>bill</u>) for services rendered prior to receiving approval.
 - <u>This includes Secondary Sage Users</u>! Providers using the 837 process have to enter an approved authorization number or claim will be denied.



Process for Verifying Eligibility for Non-Authorized Services

- Requires SAPC Utilization Management (UM) staff to review cases to verify eligibility for non-authorized services.
- Review process for eligibility verifications includes:
 - ASAM assessment (with justification of clinical rationale if level of care recommendation of the ASAM CONTINUUM is overridden)
 - Sage Financial Eligibility Form
 - Sage Provider Diagnosis (ICD-10) Form
 - Sage Clinical Contact Form
 - Treatment Plan (if re-determination)
 - Other clinical documentation (as needed)
- Other Required documents:
 - Sage CalOMS Admission Form (within 7 [adults] or 14 [youth] days of admission)
- Currently, non-authorized services can be successfully claimed and paid without an approval from SAPC UM staff and before eligibility is verified → this is a problem in terms of financial liability for providers





- Current Challenges with Eligibility Verification Process
 - Most providers are NOT submitting all necessary information for SAPC UM staff to render decisions on eligibility status.
 - Commonly identified deficiencies include:
 - Missing or incomplete ASAM assessments (most common)
 - Missing or incomplete Financial Eligibility Form
 - Missing or incomplete Provider Diagnosis (ICD-10) Form
- SAPC UM staff have been actively calling providers to provide necessary info, but has largely been unable to secure necessary info to render a decision and the amount of follow-up entailed in this process is not sustainable.
- However, missing information is occurring <u>much less often</u> for authorized service process because providers know that they need to provide this required information to avoid payment challenges.



Payment Blackouts

- While payment blackouts prevent payment for patients whose eligibility was not established, this process:
 - Does not give providers visibility on the status of the blackout and thus is difficult for providers to manage
 - Requires significant work for both providers and SAPC staff that is inefficient and unsustainable
 - Often involves need for recoupment
 - Has not resulted in providers submitting necessary information
- — <u>Result</u> → Large backlog of pending eligibility verification cases due to
 insufficient information from providers
 - This places providers at significant financial risk given that these cases may result in <u>recoupment</u> from either <u>SAPC</u> (if necessary information is not provided) or <u>the</u> <u>State</u> (during audits if necessary information is was either not provided or provided late).
 - Resolution of payment blackout process requires <u>significant work and create</u> workflow challenges for both providers and SAPC staff.



Member Authorization Change Being Considered

Proposal

- Effective 7/1/18, SAPC is considering requiring all patients to have a Member Authorization (Authorization Request Form) for ALL services.
 - This includes the non-authorized services that previously did not require an authorization.
 - Providers would choose the level of care or service they want authorized on the drop-down menu on the Authorization Request Form.
- Outpatient (OP, IOP, OTP, WM, and RSS) providers that are unfamiliar with the Authorization Request Form process would need to be trained on how to complete this form within Sage.
- Secondary Sage Users would follow the same process they currently follow for authorized services, which involves entering the following information into Sage:
 - Sage Authorization Request Form
 - Sage Financial Eligibility Form
 - Sage Provider Diagnosis (ICD-10) Form
 - Sage Clinical Contact Form
 - Uploading Treatment Plans into Sage



Member Authorization Change Being Considered (cont'd)

<u>Rationale</u>

- Current eligibility verification process for non-authorized services (*see previous slide for definition*) is a BACKEND process resulting in significant complications
 - Backlog of pending eligibility verification cases represents a significant financial liability for providers
 - The eligibility verification process has largely either not been completed or has been completed after providers have already been payment → increases financial liability and recoupment risk
- Member Authorization process ensures verification of eligibility UPFRONT and minimizes financial liability for providers
 - Decreases risk for recoupment
 - Markedly decreases need for payment blackouts
- Member Authorization process has significant functionality that would benefit both providers and SAPC UM Staff in processing requests and billing
 - Facilitates provider and SAPC UM staff communication to more efficiently process authorization requests.
 - Simplifies the billing process (selecting an authorization number instead of repeatedly scrolling through long list of PAUTHs)



Member Authorization Change Being Considered (cont'd)

| ANTICIPATED BENEFITS | ANTICIPATED NEGATIVES |
|---|--|
| More financial confidence in services rendered \rightarrow decreases financial liability and risk of recoupment for providers | Providers need to submit necessary information prior to billing – this is technically already the case, but it isn't being enforced until after billing |
| Greater visibility for providers on the status of the review process and on what will be approved for payment | |
| More efficient process for completing review of medical necessity and financial eligibility | |
| Increases likelihood that necessary information is submitted for eligibility verification | |
| Simplifies billing submission process | |
| Process includes mechanisms for providers and UM staff to directly communicate regarding authorizations and missing elements | |
| Reduces backlog of pending eligibility verification cases | |



Member Authorization Change Being Considered (cont'd)

- SAPC appreciates provider feedback on this considered change and plans on announcing a decision by the end of the week.
- If this shift to requiring Member Authorizations (requiring an Authorization Request Form) for ALL services by 7/1/18 were to occur, a webinar training would be held next week (the week of 6/25) to ensure providers are familiar with the process.





Discussion

